

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/829,177	04/09/2001	John C. Goodwin III	9303.00	9228
7: Paul W. Marti	590 12/19/2002		EXAM	INER
NCR Corporati	on		LEE, DIANE I	
101 West Scha	ntz Avenue		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
Dayton, OH 45479-0001		· .	2876	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	0.	Applicant(s)			
•	<b>-</b> .	09/829,177		GOODWIN, JOHN C.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Diane I. Lee		2876			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cov	/er sl	heet with the correspondence address			
THE M - Extensions after S - If the s - If NO - Failure	PREPLY  ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF  MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a i period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta ply received by the Office later than three months after the ma d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reply within the statutory and will apply and will exp	owever	r, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ( (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status	, policina (1)						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) <u></u> ☐		This action is nor					
-	closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims	der <i>Ex par</i> te Quay	r forn /le, 1	mal matters, prosecution as to the merits is 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consid	derat	tion.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.						
7)	The state of the self-set of to						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requ	uirem	nent.			
	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 April 2001</u> is/are:	: a)⊠ accepted or	b)[	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	; held	I in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)∐ appı	rove	d b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i		e acti	on.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority unde	eř 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (t).			
a	)						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been i	recei	ived.			
1	2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been	recei	ived in Application No			
*	application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT R a list of the certifie	d co	pies not received.			
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority und	ler 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	a) The translation of the foreign languag Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional appl	lication	on has been received.			
Attachme							
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 5	4)   5)   6)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:			

)

Art Unit: 2876

#### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-12 are presented for examination.

### Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:
- (a) Re claim 12, line 4: "the first identification" should be changed to --the second identification --. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Johnsen [US 5,151,684, cited by the applicant].

Re claims 1-2, 5, 7, and 9: Johnsen discloses an item processing system (see the abstract, and figures 3-4), comprising:

a bar code reader (scanner 50) included in a housing 52(see figures 3-4 and 7);

a radio frequency product label interrogator 74, in the same housing, coupled to the bar code reader (see figures 3-4 and 7);

a computer 92, which obtains first identification information from the bar code reader and second identification from the radio frequency product label interrogator (see col. 7, lines 14+ and figures 4 and 7);

Art Unit: 2876

activating an item processing device 54, 58, 64 including a bar code reader to obtain first identification information from an item and a radio frequency product label interrogator to obtain second identification information from the item (see col. 9, lines 13+ and figure 7);

if the item has a bar code label, obtaining the first identification information by the bar code reader; and if the item has a radio frequency product label, obtaining the second identification information by the radio frequency product label interrogator (see col. 9, lines 13+ and figure 7). Figure 7 shows the tag having both the bar code label and the radio frequency product label, identifying the item using both the first and second identification information.

Re claims 4 and 6: Johnsen discloses the item processing device having a bar code reader 50 included in a housing 52, a radio frequency product label interrogator 74 coupled to the bar code reader, and wherein the housing includes a control circuitry 72 obtaining a first identification information from bar code labels, and a communication port coupled to the control circuitry for obtaining second identification information from a radio frequency product label (i.e., the data connectivity between the radio frequency product label interrogator and the control circuitry.

5. Claims 1-2, 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ruppert et al. [US 5,640,002-cited by the applicant].

Ruppert discloses an item processing device, comprising:

a bar code reader (PID unit 298, 322) including a housing (see figures 16, 19);

wherein the bar code reader including control circuitry 320 for obtaining first identification information from bar code labels 506 (see figures 19 and 27); and

a communication port coupled to the control circuitry for obtaining second identification information from a radio frequency product label interrogator 314, which provides the second identification information to the communicator port and coupled to the bar code reader in the housing(see figures 16, 19).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/829,177

Art Unit: 2876

6. Claims 1-2, 4-5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kashi [JP-2001-052105-cited by the applicant].

Kashi discloses an item processing device (see the abstract, and figures 1-2), comprising: a bar code reader 10, 11, 12 included in a housing 52(see figures 1-2);

a radio frequency product label interrogator 13, in the same housing, coupled to the bar code reader (see figures 1-2);

a computer 14, which obtains first identification information from the bar code reader and second identification from the radio frequency product label interrogator (see figures 1-2);

a communication port coupled to the control circuitry for obtaining second identification information from a radio frequency product label (i.e., the data connectivity between the radio frequency product label interrogator and the control circuitry.

activating an item processing device 10d including a bar code reader to obtain first identification information from an item and a radio frequency product label interrogator to obtain second identification information from the item (see figure 2);

if the item has a bar code label, obtaining the first identification information by the bar code reader; and if the item has a radio frequency product label, obtaining the second identification information by the radio frequency product label interrogator (see figure 1). Wherein the non-contact ID tag having both the bar code label and the radio frequency product label, identifying the item using both the first and second identification information (see the abstract).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2876

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ruppert. The teachings of Ruppert have been discussed above.

Ruppert does not teach the scale within the housing of the bar code reader.

Since items are not all identifiable via a bar code or a radio frequency product label, such as vegetable, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the scale in order to identify the purchased item via its weight such as item price that is variable according its weight. Accordingly, such modification would have allowed the customer to handle greater the items such as a vegetable the bar code or the radio frequency product label.

9. Claims 3 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnsen. The teachings of Johnsen have been discussed above.

Re claim 3: Johnsen does not teach the scale within the housing of the bar code reader.

Since items are not all identifiable via a bar code or a radio frequency product label, such as vegetable, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the scale in order to identify the purchased item via its weight such as item price that is variable according its weight. Accordingly, such modification would have allowed the customer to handle greater the items such as a vegetable the bar code or the radio frequency product label.

Re claims 10-12: Johnsen is silent with respect to the specific information of the tag with respect to the association of the first information and the second information.

Since Johnsen teaches that the device of his invention in communication with the tag to identify the piece of merchandise (see col. 2, lines 59+), it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the tag identification to include pricing information with respect to the association of the first information and the second information to clearly

Art Unit: 2876

identify the of merchandise for transaction purpose. Accordingly, it would have been an obvious extension as taught by Johnsen, therefore, it would have been an obvious expedient.

10. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kiyoshi [GB 2 284 083-cited by the applicant] in view of Johnsen. The teachings of Johnsen have been discussed above.

Kiyoshi discloses a check-out system and POS system having a conveyor 51 for transporting commercial products, a transport control unit, a product sensor 52 for optically detecting the product, and a scanner 52 for obtaining the first identification information by reading the label of the product code (see page 18, lines 10+). Kiyoshi teaches the process of sensing the product optically by the product sensor 52, and activating the bar code reader in response to sensing the item by the system.

Although Kiyoshi teaches the item processing device including a bar code reader to obtain first identification information from the product if the item has a bar code, Kiyoshi does not discloses the step of activating the item processing device and the item processing including a radio frequency product label interrogator to obtain second identification information from the item.

Johnsen teaches the step of activating the item processing device and the item-processing device including a radio frequency product label interrogator to obtain second identification information from the item if the item has a radio frequency product label (see the discussion above).

It would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the item-processing device having a bar code reader and a radio frequency product label interrogator in the system of Kiyoshi in order to process the item having a radio frequency product label. Such modification would have been an obvious extension taught by Kiyoshi. Accordingly, it would have been an obvious expedient.

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2876

Reynolds et al. [US 6,286,762], Kumar [US 5,902,991] and Johnsen [US 5,250,789] discloses an item-processing device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane I. Lee whose telephone number is 703-306-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Diane I. Lee

Primary Examiner

Wiane In Cu

Art Unit 2876

December 9, 2002